

# Manifestly diffeomorphism invariant classical Exact Renormalization Group

Anthony W. H. Preston

University of Southampton

Supervised by Prof. Tim R. Morris

Talk prepared for Asymptotic Safety seminar, 14<sup>th</sup> March 2016

Based on arXiv:1602.08993

awhp1g12@soton.ac.uk

# Contents

- Introduction
- Mini-review of gauge-invariant RG
- Background-independent gravity expansion
- Fixed-background formalism
- Expansion in  $n$ -point functions
- Ward identities
- Exact 2-point function at tree-level
- Comments on additional regularization
- Summary

# Introduction

- General Relativity (GR) is a **perturbatively** non-renormalizable theory.
- The field is the spacetime metric.
- Renormalization Group (RG) flow can be seen intuitively as describing physics at different scales of length by changing the resolution.
- Our work develops a **manifestly diffeomorphism-invariant** Exact RG.
- This approach **avoids gauge fixing**, giving results **independent of coordinates**.
- The diffeomorphism invariance also allows us to create a **background-independent** construction.
- The background-independent form does not make assumptions about the **global structure** of the spacetime.

# Diffeomorphism transformations

Consider a general coordinate transformation

$$x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} - \xi^{\mu}(x).$$

For a covariant derivative,  $D$ , **metrics** transform as

$$g'_{\mu\nu}(x') = \frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial x'^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial x'^{\nu}} g_{\rho\sigma}(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) + 2g_{\lambda(\alpha} D_{\beta)} \xi^{\lambda} + \xi \cdot D g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

So **metric perturbations** transform as

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu}(x) + \xi \cdot D g_{\mu\nu} + 2g_{\lambda(\mu} D_{\nu)} \xi^{\lambda}.$$

A **general covariant tensor** transforms via the **Lie derivative**:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} T_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = \xi^{\lambda} D_{\lambda} T_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n T_{\alpha_1 \dots \lambda \dots \alpha_n} D_{\alpha_i} \xi^{\lambda},$$

We will later find it useful to generalize this further to objects with two position arguments.

# Kadanoff blocking

In the Ising model, Kadanoff blocking is the process of **grouping microscopic spins** together to form **macroscopic “blocked” spins**, e.g. via a majority rule.

The continuous version integrates out the high-energy modes of a field to give a renormalized field, used in a renormalized action.

The **blocking functional**,  $b$ , is defined via the effective Boltzmann factor:

$$e^{-S[\varphi]} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi_0 \delta[\varphi - b[\varphi_0]] e^{-S_{\text{bare}}[\varphi_0]}.$$

There are an **infinite number** of possible Kadanoff blockings, but a simple linear example is

$$b[\varphi_0](x) = \int_y B(x - y) \varphi_0(y), \quad \text{where the kernel, } B, \text{ contains an } \textbf{infrared cutoff function}.$$

The partition function must be **invariant under change of cutoff scale**,  $\Lambda$ , this will be ensured by construction, i.e.

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi e^{-S[\varphi]} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi_0 e^{-S_{\text{bare}}[\varphi_0]}.$$

Kadanoff blocking demands a suitable notion of **locality** that requires us to work exclusively in **Euclidean signature**.

# RG Flow Equation

Differentiate the effective Boltzmann factor w.r.t. “RG time”:

$$\Lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} e^{-S[\varphi]} = - \int_x \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(x)} \int \mathcal{D}\varphi_0 \delta[\varphi - b[\varphi_0]] \Lambda \frac{\partial b[\varphi_0](x)}{\partial \Lambda} e^{-S_{\text{bare}}[\varphi_0]}.$$

This can be rewritten in terms of the “rate of change of the blocking functional”,  $\Psi(x)$ , as

$$\Lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} e^{-S[\varphi]} = \int_x \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(x)} \left( \Psi(x) e^{-S[\varphi]} \right).$$

This is now a general form for an RG flow equation for a single scalar field. If instead we choose a gauge field, we have (suppressing indices inside arguments)

$$\Lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} e^{-S[A]} = \int_x \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu(x)} \left( \Psi_\mu(x) e^{-S[A]} \right).$$

For gravity, we have

$$\Lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} e^{-S[g]} = \int_x \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)} \left( \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) e^{-S[g]} \right).$$

# Polchinski flow equation

We can specialize to the **Polchinski** flow equation for a single scalar field by setting

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_y \dot{\Delta}(x, y) \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi(y)}.$$

The **effective propagator**,  $\Delta = c(p^2 / \Lambda^2) p^{-2}$ , contains an **ultraviolet cutoff** profile,  $c$ .

The **seed action**,  $\hat{S}$ , which appears in  $\Sigma = S - 2\hat{S}$ ,

is the regularized kinetic term (in the Polchinski flow equation). We can **freely generalize** to allow 3-point and higher additions.

$$\hat{S} = \frac{1}{2} \int_x \partial_\mu \varphi \, c^{-1} \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) \partial_\mu \varphi + \dots$$

This freedom comes from the **infinite** number of possible Kadanoff blockings. The **Polchinski flow equation** can be neatly expressed as

$$\dot{S} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi} \cdot \dot{\Delta} \cdot \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi} \cdot \dot{\Delta} \cdot \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi},$$

where  $f \cdot W \cdot g := \int_x f(x) W \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) g(x).$

# Gauge invariant flow equation

The generalization of the Polchinski flow equation to a **single gauge field** looks very similar:

$$\dot{S} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_\mu} \cdot \{\dot{\Delta}\} \cdot \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta A_\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \cdot \{\dot{\Delta}\} \cdot \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta A_\mu}.$$

The crucial difference to the scalar case is that the **kernel** must be **covariantized** to maintain **manifest gauge invariance**.

There are an infinite number of ways to do this, a simple way is to replace the **partial derivatives** with **covariant derivatives**,

$$D_\mu := \partial_\mu - iA_\mu, \quad \text{so the kernel now has an expansion in } -\frac{D^2}{\Lambda^2}.$$

We continue to call  $\Delta$  the “**effective propagator**”, although instead of inverting the tree-level 2-point function, it maps it onto the **transverse projector**:

$$\Delta S_{0\mu\nu}^{AA} = \delta_{\mu\nu} - p_\mu p_\nu / p^2.$$

# Diagrammatic view

We can visualize the flow equation expansion for **scalar** field theory diagrammatically:

The diagrammatic equation shows the flow equation expansion for scalar field theory. On the left is a circle labeled  $S$  with a dot on its top line. This is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$  times a diagram consisting of a circle  $S$  connected to a circle  $\Sigma$  by a horizontal line with a dot in the middle, all enclosed within a dashed arc. This is then minus  $\frac{1}{2}$  times a diagram consisting of a circle  $\Sigma$  with a dot on its left line, also enclosed within a dashed arc.

$$\dot{S} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi} \cdot \dot{\Delta} \cdot \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi} \cdot \dot{\Delta} \cdot \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi}$$

In **gauge** and **gravity** theories, the **kernel** also has an expansion due to covariantization:

The diagrammatic equation for gauge and gravity theories includes an additional term. It follows the same structure as the scalar theory equation but adds a third term: minus  $\frac{1}{2}$  times a diagram where a triangle with a dot on its top line is connected to a circle  $\Sigma$  by a horizontal line, all enclosed within a dashed arc.

# Loop expansion of the action

To preserve manifest gauge invariance, **wavefunction renormalization** must be avoided. For gauge theories, this is achieved by writing the coupling,  $g$ , as an overall scaling factor:

$$S[A](g) = \frac{1}{4g^2} \text{tr} \int_x F_{\mu\nu} c^{-1} \left( -\frac{D^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) F_{\mu\nu} + \dots$$

The effective action is then written as a **loopwise expansion** that is also an expansion in powers of  $g$ :

$$S = \frac{1}{g^2} S_0 + S_1 + g^2 S_2 + \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_g = g^2 S - 2\hat{S}.$$

The **left hand side** of the flow equation then reads as

$$\dot{S} = \frac{1}{g^2} S_0 + S_1 + g^2 S_2 + \dots + \beta \left( -\frac{1}{g^3} S_0 + g S_2 + \dots \right)$$

The  **$\beta$ -functions** have an expansion:  $\beta := \Lambda \partial_\Lambda g = \beta_1 g^3 + \beta_2 g^5 + \dots$

# Comment on wavefunction renormalization

To preserve **manifest** gauge invariance, we require that the **gauge transformation** of the field keeps the form

$$\delta A_\mu = [D_\mu, \omega(x)].$$

If **wavefunction renormalization** were required, the renormalized field would scale as

$$A_\mu^R = Z^{-1/2} A_\mu.$$

The gauge transformation would then change to

$$\delta A_\mu^R = Z^{-1/2} \partial_\mu \omega - i[A_\mu^R, \omega].$$

This preserves the relation only if we require that  $Z = 1$   
and  $A_\mu = A_\mu^R$ .

This differs from the usual method, which instead introduces **BRST ghost fields** and replaces manifest gauge invariance with **BRST invariance**.

# Additional regularization for loops

The manifestly gauge invariant ERG for  $SU(N)$  requires **additional regularization** at 1-loop level using covariant Pauli-Villars fields. The elegant way to do this is with  **$SU(N|N)$  regularization**.

The field is promoted to a **supermatrix** of bosonic components,  $A$ , and fermionic components,  $B$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} A_\mu^1 & B_\mu \\ \bar{B}_\mu & A_\mu^2 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \mathcal{I}, \quad \text{and} \quad D_\mu = \partial_\mu - i\mathcal{A}_\mu.$$

The action is built in a similar way:

$$S = \frac{1}{4g^2} \text{str} \int \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{-1} \left( -\frac{D^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} + \dots$$

$$\text{where } \text{str} \begin{pmatrix} X^{11} & X^{12} \\ X^{21} & X^{22} \end{pmatrix} = \text{tr}_1 X^{11} - \text{tr}_2 X^{22}.$$

This supersymmetry is spontaneously broken by a **super-Higgs** mechanism with a mass at order  $\Lambda$ , so that the physical  $SU(N)$  can be recovered at **low energy**.

A similar procedure would be required for the 1-loop manifestly diffeomorphism invariant ERG for gravity.

# Diffeomorphism invariant flow equation

The **background-independent** generalization of the Polchinski flow equation to **gravity** is

$$\dot{S} = \int_x \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)} \int_y K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, y) \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta g_{\rho\sigma}(y)} - \int_x \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)} \int_y K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, y) \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta g_{\rho\sigma}(y)},$$

The **kernel**, which transforms as a two-argument generalization of a tensor, is

$$K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \delta(x - y) \left( g_{\mu(\rho} g_{\sigma)\nu} + j g_{\mu\nu} g_{\rho\sigma} \right) \dot{\Delta}.$$

The **de Witt supermetric** parameter,  $j$ , determines how modes propagate in the flow equation. The value of  $j$  is determined by our choice of scheme.

The “**effective propagator**”,  $\Delta$ , in the fixed-background description is given by

$$\Delta = \frac{c(p^2/\Lambda^2)}{p^d},$$

where  $d$  is the dimension of the  **$\Lambda$ -independent** part of the 2-point function. Background-independently, we only have  $\Delta$ 's **RG time derivative**, which is a local expansion in **covariant derivatives**.

# Flow equation at the classical level

The flow equation can be split into two index structures, which are the “**cross-contracted**” and “**two-traces**” forms. At the classical level, we have

$$\dot{S}|_{c.c.} = \int_x \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \frac{g_{\mu(\rho} g_{\sigma)\nu}}{\sqrt{g}} \dot{\Delta} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta g_{\rho\sigma}}, \quad \text{and}$$
$$\dot{S}|_{t.t.} = \int_x \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \frac{g_{\mu\nu} g_{\rho\sigma}}{\sqrt{g}} \dot{\Delta} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta g_{\rho\sigma}}.$$

The full flow equation is a **linear combination** of both structures:

$$\dot{S} = \dot{S}|_{c.c.} + j \dot{S}|_{t.t.}.$$

We can write the **classical** flow equation in compact form as

$$\dot{S} = -a_0[S, \Sigma],$$

where  $a_0$  is a symmetric bilinear map between **actions**. We could also use  $\mathbf{a}_0$ , a symmetric bilinear map between **Lagrangian terms**:

$$\dot{\mathcal{L}} = -\mathbf{a}_0[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L} - 2\hat{\mathcal{L}}].$$

As with scalar and gauge cases, we only require the **seed action** to match the fixed-point action up to the **2-point level**, which for gravity means up to quadratic terms in Riemann tensor.

# Brief comments on $j$

The value of  $j$  determines the balance of modes in the flow equation, take for example

$$\dot{j} \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is the case where the **kernel** only keeps the index structure that **traces both sides**. This choice ensures that only the **conformal mode** propagates in the flow equation. To see this, let's bring the conformal factor outside the metric:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} e^{\sigma}.$$

We find that we can rewrite the **flow equation** as merely a flow equation for the conformal factor:

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \sigma} = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}},$$
$$\dot{S} \sim \int_x \frac{\delta S}{\delta \sigma} \frac{\dot{\Delta}}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \sigma} - \int_x \frac{\delta}{\delta \sigma} \frac{\dot{\Delta}}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \sigma}.$$

Conversely, in  $D$  dimensions,  $j = -1/D$  **prevents** the **conformal mode** from propagating in the flow equation. We specialise to  $D = 4$  in this work.

# Background-independent expansion of the action

The Lagrangian can be arranged as an **expansion** in local diffeomorphism-invariant scalar **operators** of even mass dimension,  $d = 2i$ , which corresponds to the number of **derivatives**:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha_i} g_{2i, \alpha_i} \mathcal{O}_{2i, \alpha_i}.$$

For a **Lagrangian** of dimension  $l$ , the **couplings** have mass dimension  $l-d$ . The **kernel** also gives as a series expansion in derivatives:

$$\dot{\Delta}(-\nabla^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \dot{\Delta}^{(k)}(0) (-\nabla^2)^k.$$

Because of this, our bilinear mapping of two operators also follows a series expansion:

$$a_0[\mathcal{O}_{d_1}, \mathcal{O}_{d_2}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_0^k[\mathcal{O}_{d_1}, \mathcal{O}_{d_2}].$$

We can integrate these terms w.r.t. **RG time** to reproduce the operator expansion of the action. The higher dimension operators are suppressed by **increasingly negative powers** of  $\Lambda$ . Dimensionless coupling terms are reproduced as “integration constants”.

# Simple examples

The only **zero-dimensional** operator is the effective **cosmological constant**. The flow equation at this order is simply a first order differential equation:

$$\dot{g}_0 = g_0(2\hat{g}_0 - g_0) a_0^0[1, 1] .$$

The only 2-dimensional operator is the **Einstein-Hilbert term**, which is similarly straightforward:

$$\dot{g}_2 = 2(g_0\hat{g}_2 + \hat{g}_0g_2 - g_0g_2) \frac{a_0^0[\mathcal{O}_2, 1]}{\mathcal{O}_2} .$$

For evaluating bilinear operations with a **cosmological constant** term, it is helpful to note that

$$a_0^k[\mathcal{O}_d, 1] = 0 \quad \forall k > 0 .$$

Consider  $a_0 \left[ S, \int_x \sqrt{g} \right] = -\frac{1}{4}(1 + 4j)\dot{\Delta}(0) \int_x g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} .$

Remembering that  $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \sigma} = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$ , one can infer that the last factor counts **metric powers**:

$$a_0[\mathcal{O}_d, 1] = a_0^0[\mathcal{O}_d, 1] = \frac{1}{8}(d - 4)(1 + 4j)\dot{\Delta}(0) \mathcal{O}_d .$$

# The “Weyl scheme”

The **seed action** matches the **fixed-point action** up to **curvature-squared** terms and determines the form of the **effective propagator**.

Let us construct a classical fixed-point action using the following **seed Lagrangian**:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} = 2R_{\alpha\beta} c^{-1}(-\nabla^2/\Lambda^2) R^{\alpha\beta} + 2sR c^{-1}(-\nabla^2/\Lambda^2) R.$$

The **flow equation** yields an expansion that goes like

$$\dot{\mathcal{L}} = 4 a_0 [R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}, R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta}] + 8s a_0 [R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}, R^2] + 4s^2 a_0 [R^2, R^2] + \dots,$$

Requiring **quasi-locality** constrains the kernel expansion to give us

$$\int \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} \dot{\Delta} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda^4} c''(0) - \frac{1}{6\Lambda^6} c'''(0) \nabla^2 + O(\nabla^4).$$

There are **no 6-dimensional** operators in  $S$ . Using the **flow equation** expansion above, solving at the curvature-squared level for  $d = 8, 10$  gives us

$$1 + 4j + 4s(2 + 3s)(1 + 3j) = s.$$

This fixes the value of  $j$  to be

$$j = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1 + 4s}{1 + 3s}.$$

# The “Einstein scheme”

Now consider instead an action that begins with an [Einstein-Hilbert term](#)

$$S = \frac{1}{32\pi G} \int_x \sqrt{g} \left( -2R + \frac{2}{\Lambda^2} R_{\mu\nu} d \left( \frac{-\nabla^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{2j}{\Lambda^2} R d \left( \frac{-\nabla^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) R + \dots \right),$$

Where  $d$  is related to the [inverted cutoff](#). As with gauge theories, we will want to [rescale the action](#) to factor out the coupling. This gives us the following seed Lagrangian:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} = -2R + \frac{2}{\Lambda^2} R_{\mu\nu} d(-\nabla^2 / \Lambda^2) R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{\Lambda^2} j R d(-\nabla^2 / \Lambda^2) R.$$

The [quadratic](#) (and higher) terms in the Riemann tensor can be derived from the [flow equation](#):

$$g_{4,1} R^2 + g_{4,2} R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} = 4 \int \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} a_0^0[R, R] = -2 \frac{c'(0)}{\Lambda^2} (R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + j R^2).$$

We can maintain this structure at all orders of the curvature-squared level provided that  $j = -1/2$  or  $-1/3$ .

# Loop expansion

Let us consider the **loop expansion** of the action:

$$S = \frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}} S_0 + S_1 + \tilde{\kappa} S_2 + \tilde{\kappa}^2 S_3 \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{\tilde{\kappa}} = \tilde{\kappa} S - 2\hat{S}.$$

For the Einstein scheme, the coupling is related to **Newton's constant**:  $\tilde{\kappa} = 32\pi G$

Remembering that the **flow equation** is written as  $\dot{S} = -a_0[S, \Sigma] + a_1[\Sigma]$ ,

we can expand it out loopwise to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}} \dot{S}_0 + \dot{S}_1 + \tilde{\kappa} \dot{S}_2 + \tilde{\kappa}^2 \dot{S}_3 + \dots + \beta \left( -\frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}^2} S_0 + S_2 + 2\tilde{\kappa} S_3 + \dots \right) = & -\frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}} a_0[S_0, S_0 - 2\hat{S}] \\ & -2a_0[S_0 - \hat{S}, S_1] + a_1[S_0 - 2\hat{S}] + \tilde{\kappa} \left( -2a_0[S_0 - \hat{S}, S_2] - a_0[S_1, S_1] + a_1[S_1] \right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The classical equation is recovered for  $\tilde{\kappa} \rightarrow 0$ .

The loop expansion of the beta function goes like

$$\beta := \Lambda \partial_\Lambda \tilde{\kappa} = \beta_1 \Lambda^2 \tilde{\kappa}^2 + \beta_2 \Lambda^4 \tilde{\kappa}^3 + \dots$$

# Fixed-background form

If we fix a **Euclidean** background metric, we can define the **graviton field** as the **perturbation** to that background:

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x) := g_{\mu\nu}(x) - \delta_{\mu\nu}.$$

The position representation is related to a momentum representation via a Fourier transform:

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x) = \int \mathrm{d}p \, e^{-ip \cdot x} h_{\mu\nu}(p), \text{ where } \mathrm{d}p := \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D}.$$

The action is defined as a series expansion in the perturbation:

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \int \mathrm{d}p \, \delta(p) \mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu}(p) h_{\mu\nu}(p) + \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}p \, \mathrm{d}q \, \delta(p+q) \mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p, q) h_{\mu\nu}(p) h_{\rho\sigma}(q) \\ & + \frac{1}{3!} \int \mathrm{d}p \, \mathrm{d}q \, \mathrm{d}r \, \delta(p+q+r) \mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}(p, q, r) h_{\mu\nu}(p) h_{\rho\sigma}(q) h_{\alpha\beta}(r) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

In this form, we are able to define an “effective propagator”,  $\Delta := \frac{1}{p^l} c \left( \frac{p^2}{\Lambda^2} \right)$ .

Where  $l = 2$  in the **Einstein scheme** and 4 in the **Weyl scheme**.

# Expansion of the metric determinant

The **action** has a factor of  $\sqrt{g}$ . The **kernel** has a factor of  $1/\sqrt{g}$ .

We can use the momentum-independent Ward identities to determine the expansion of these factors in metric perturbations, or we can do it more directly:

$$\sqrt{\det(g_{\mu\nu})}^l = e^{\frac{l}{2}(\ln(\delta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}))},$$

$$\sqrt{g}^l = 1 + l\frac{h}{2} - l\frac{h_{\mu\nu}h^{\mu\nu}}{4} + l^2\frac{h^2}{8} + l\frac{h_{\mu\nu}h^{\mu\rho}h^\nu{}_\rho}{6} - l^2\frac{h_{\mu\nu}h^{\mu\nu}h}{8} + l^3\frac{h^3}{48} + \dots$$

A “**cosmological constant**” term would enter the action simply as  $\int_x \sqrt{g} \times \text{constant}$

Thus a “**cosmological constant**” term would introduce a corresponding **1-point function** because we are expanding around a **Euclidean background** rather than the more natural **de Sitter background**.

# $n$ -point expansion of the kernel

We wish to calculate the  $n$ -point functions of the kernel, which contains an expansion in covariant derivatives.

To get the linear part in metric perturbations, we first calculate the result,  $H$ , of using just the linear term in the derivative expansion:

$$(-\nabla^2)(p, r)T^{\rho\sigma}(-r) = H^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}{}^{\rho\sigma}(p, r)T^{\gamma\delta}(-r)h_{\alpha\beta}(p).$$

We then generalize to any order in the derivative expansion:

$$(-\nabla^2)^{n+1}(p, r)T^{\rho\sigma}(-r) = \sum_{i=0}^n ([p-r]^2)^{n-i} H^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}{}^{\rho\sigma}(p, r)(r^2)^i T^{\gamma\delta}(-r)h_{\alpha\beta}(p).$$

The sum is over terms in a geometric series, which goes like

$$\sum_{i=0}^n ([p-r]^2)^{n-i} (r^2)^i = \frac{(p-r)^{2(n+1)} - r^{2(n+1)}}{(p-r)^2 - r^2}.$$

Finally, we add up all orders of the expansion

$$\dot{\Delta}(-\nabla^2)(p, r)T^{\rho\sigma}(-r) = \frac{\dot{\Delta}(|p-r|^2) - \dot{\Delta}(r^2)}{|p-r|^2 - r^2} H^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}{}^{\rho\sigma}(p, r)T^{\gamma\delta}(-r)h_{\alpha\beta}(p).$$

# Transverse 2-point structures

The kinetic term gives us **transverse** 2-point functions. We wish to use **diffeomorphism invariance** to constrain what 2-point functions we have. We start with the most general structure with two derivatives:

$$S_{2\text{-momenta}}^{(2)} = \int \mathrm{d}p \left( a_1 h p^2 h + a_2 h_{\alpha\beta} p^2 h^{\alpha\beta} + a_3 h p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} + a_4 h^{\alpha\beta} p_{\alpha} p_{\gamma} h_{\beta}^{\gamma} \right).$$

We require the **linearized** diffeomorphism transformation to be zero:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 4a_1 h p^2 p \cdot \xi + 4a_2 h^{\alpha\beta} p^2 p_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} + 2a_3 h p^2 p \cdot \xi \\ & + 2a_3 h^{\alpha\beta} p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} p \cdot \xi + 2a_4 h^{\alpha\beta} p^2 p_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} + 2a_4 h^{\alpha\beta} p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} p \cdot \xi. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us one unique structure, which corresponds to the **Einstein-Hilbert** action:

$$a_1 = -a_2 = -a_3/2 = a_4/2.$$

But what if we allow for **four or more derivatives**? The most general structure with four derivatives is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{4\text{-momenta}}^{(2)} = & \int \mathrm{d}p \left( b_1 h^{\alpha\beta} p^4 h_{\alpha\beta} + b_2 h p^4 h + b_3 h^{\alpha\beta} p^2 p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} h \right. \\ & \left. + b_4 h^{\alpha\beta} p^2 p_{\alpha} p_{\gamma} h_{\beta}^{\gamma} + b_5 h^{\alpha\beta} p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} p_{\gamma} p_{\delta} h^{\gamma\delta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad 24$$

# Transverse 2-point functions

Again, we set the **linearized** diffeomorphism transformation to zero:

$$0 = 4b_1 h^{\alpha\beta} p^4 p_\alpha \xi_\beta + 4b_2 h p^4 p \cdot \xi + 2b_3 h^{\alpha\beta} p^2 p_\alpha p_\beta p \cdot \xi \\ + 2b_3 h p^4 p \cdot \xi + 2b_4 h^{\alpha\beta} p^4 p_\alpha \xi_\beta + 2b_4 h^{\alpha\beta} p^2 p_\alpha p_\beta p \cdot \xi \\ + 4b_5 h^{\alpha\beta} p^2 p_\alpha p_\beta p \cdot \xi.$$

This requires that  $b_5 = b_1 + b_2$ ,  $b_4 = -2b_1$ , and  $b_3 = -2b_2$ , giving us **two** linearly independent structures

$$2S_a^{(2)} = h^{\mu\nu} p^4 h_{\mu\nu} - 2h^{\mu\nu} p^2 p_\mu p_\rho h_\nu{}^\rho + h^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu p_\rho p_\sigma h^{\rho\sigma},$$

$$2S_b^{(2)} = h p^4 h - 2h^{\mu\nu} p^2 p_\mu p_\nu h + h^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu p_\rho p_\sigma h^{\rho\sigma}.$$

The two transverse 2-point functions can be written in a factorized form:

$$\mathcal{S}_a^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(-p, p) = \left( p^2 \delta^{(\mu|(\rho} - p^{(\mu|} p^{(\rho} \right) \left( p^2 \delta^{\sigma)|\nu)} - p^{\sigma)} p^{|\nu)} \right),$$

$$\mathcal{S}_b^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(-p, p) = (p^2 \delta^{\mu\nu} - p^\mu p^\nu) (p^2 \delta^{\rho\sigma} - p^\rho p^\sigma).$$

# Interpreting the 2-point functions

Increasing the number of derivatives further does not give us any new structures.

Consider a structure:  $S^{(2)} = aS_a^{(2)} + bS_b^{(2)}$ .

$a = 2, b = 0$  corresponds to  $\int_x \sqrt{g} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},$

$a = 0, b = 2$  corresponds to  $\int_x \sqrt{g} R^2,$

$a = b = \frac{1}{2}$  corresponds to  $\int_x \sqrt{g} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu},$

$a = -b = \frac{1}{2p^2}$  corresponds to  $-\int_x \sqrt{g} R.$

The quadratic terms are related by the [Gauss-Bonnet topological invariant](#):

$$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_M (R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2).$$

# Ward identities for the action

Recall the **diffeomorphism transformation** for a metric perturbation in **position** representation:

$$\delta h_{\alpha\beta} = 2(\delta + h)_{\lambda(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \xi^\lambda + \xi \cdot \partial h_{\alpha\beta}.$$

We can write the variation in **momentum** representation as

$$i\delta h_{\alpha\beta}(p) = 2p_{(\alpha} \xi_{\beta)} + \int \mathrm{d}p' \mathrm{d}k' \delta(p - p' - k') \left( 2p'_{(\alpha} h_{\beta)\lambda}(k') \xi^\lambda(p') + \xi(p') \cdot k' h_{\alpha\beta}(k') \right).$$

The **Ward identities** follow from requiring **diffeomorphism invariance** of the action:

$$\begin{aligned} 2p_{1\mu_1} \mathcal{S}^{\mu_1\nu_1 \cdots \mu_n\nu_n}(p_1, \cdots, p_n) &= - \sum_{i=2}^n \pi_{2i} \left\{ p_2^{\nu_1} \mathcal{S}^{\mu_2\nu_2 \cdots \mu_n\nu_n}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \cdots, p_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2p_{1\alpha} \delta^{\nu_1(\nu_2} \mathcal{S}^{\mu_2)\alpha\mu_3\nu_3 \cdots \mu_n\nu_n}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \cdots, p_n) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where **momentum conservation** is assumed:  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 0,$

and  $\pi_{2i}$  is the transposition operator that swaps the labels “2” and “i” in all Lorentz indices and momenta

# Differential Ward identities

For the case where **one momentum is zero (tadpoles)**, the Ward identities directly give us the form of the  $(n+1)$ -point functions in terms of the  $n$ -point functions. We set one of the momenta to be vanishing:

$$(p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = (\epsilon, p_1 - \epsilon, p_2, \dots, p_n).$$

**Differentiating** the Ward identity with respect to the **vanishing momentum** and applying momentum conservation, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} -2\mathcal{S}^{\alpha\beta\mu_1\nu_1\cdots\mu_n\nu_n}(0, p_1, \dots, p_n) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta \partial_i^\alpha - \delta^{\alpha\beta} \right) \mathcal{S}^{\mu_1\nu_1\cdots\mu_n\nu_n}(p_1, \dots, p_n) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_{1i} \delta^{\beta(\nu_1} \mathcal{S}^{\mu_1)\alpha\mu_2\nu_2\cdots\mu_n\nu_n}(p_1, \dots, p_n). \end{aligned}$$

Tending **all momenta to zero** gives us the Ward identities for **momentum-independent** parts of the action:

$$2\mathcal{S}^{\mu_1\nu_1\cdots\mu_n\nu_n}(\underline{0}) = \delta^{\mu_1\nu_1} \mathcal{S}^{\mu_2\nu_2\cdots\mu_n\nu_n}(\underline{0}) - 2 \sum_{i=2}^n \pi_{2i} \delta^{\nu_1(\nu_2} \mathcal{S}^{\mu_2)\mu_1\mu_3\nu_3\cdots\mu_n\nu_n}(\underline{0}).$$

# Deriving Ward identities for the kernel

The **kernel** diffeomorphism transforms as the **two-argument** generalization of a tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\xi K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, y) &= \xi(x) \cdot \partial_x K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, y) + \xi(y) \cdot \partial_y K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, y) \\ &\quad + 2K_{\lambda(\mu|\rho\sigma}(x, y) \partial_{x|\nu)} \xi^\lambda(x) + 2K_{\mu\nu\lambda(\rho|}(x, y) \partial_{y|\sigma)} \xi^\lambda(y), \end{aligned}$$

The kernel transforms in **momentum representation** like

$$\begin{aligned} i\delta K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(q, r) &= -\xi(p') \cdot (p' + q) K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p' + q, r) - \xi(p') \cdot (p' + r) K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(q, p' + r) \\ &\quad + 2\xi^\lambda(p') p'_{(\mu} K_{\nu)\lambda\rho\sigma}(p' + q, r) + 2\xi^\lambda(p') p'_{(\rho} K_{\mu\nu|\sigma)\lambda}(q, p' + r). \end{aligned}$$

The kernel can be written in momentum representation as an expansion in **metric perturbations**:

$$K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(q, r) = \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(q, r) + \int \mathrm{d}p_1 \, \delta(p_1 + q + r) \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_1\beta_1}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p_1, q, r) h_{\alpha_1\beta_1}(p_1) + \dots$$

Taking into account also the transformation of the metric perturbations, we can derive an overall set of Ward identities for the kernel...

# Ward identities for the kernel

The **result** is

$$\begin{aligned}
 2p'_\gamma \mathcal{K}^{\gamma\delta\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p', p_1, \cdots, p_n, q, r) = & \\
 & -(p' + q)^\delta \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p_1, \cdots, p_n, q + p', r) \\
 & -(p' + r)^\delta \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p_1, \cdots, p_n, q, r + p') \\
 & + 2\delta^{\lambda\delta} p'_{(\mu} \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{|\nu)\lambda\rho\sigma}(p_1, \cdots, p_n, q + p', r) \\
 & + 2\delta^{\lambda\delta} p'_{(\rho} \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{\mu\nu|\sigma)\lambda}(p_1, \cdots, p_n, q, r + p') \\
 & - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_{i1} \left\{ p_1^\delta \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p' + p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n, q, r) \right. \\
 & \left. + 2p'_\lambda \delta^{\delta(\alpha_1} \mathcal{K}^{\beta_1)\lambda\alpha_2\beta_2\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p' + p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n, q, r) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The **momentum-independent** part satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{K}^{\gamma\delta\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(\underline{0}) = & -\frac{1}{2}\delta^{\gamma\delta} \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(\underline{0}) \\
 & + \delta^{\lambda(\gamma} \delta^{\delta)}_{(\mu} \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{|\nu)\lambda\rho\sigma}(\underline{0}) \\
 & + \delta^{\lambda(\gamma} \delta^{\delta)}_{(\rho} \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{\mu\nu|\sigma)\lambda}(\underline{0}) \\
 & - \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_{i1} \left\{ \delta^{\gamma|(\alpha_1} \mathcal{K}^{\beta_1)|\delta)\cdots\alpha_n\beta_n}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(\underline{0}) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

# 2-point flow equation: Weyl Scheme

Consider the effective action in [Weyl scheme](#), this time using a fixed background:

$$S = 2 \int_x \sqrt{g} \left( R_{\alpha\beta} c^{-1} R^{\alpha\beta} + s R c^{-1} R + \dots \right),$$

where  $c$  is the [cutoff function](#). The 2-point function is

$$\mathcal{S}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(p, -p) = c^{-1} \mathcal{S}_a^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(p, -p) + (1 + 4s) c^{-1} \mathcal{S}_b^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(p, -p),$$

which solves the [2-point flow equation](#) provided that  $(c^{-1})^\cdot = -p^4 c^{-2} \dot{\Delta}$ ,

and  $s(c^{-1})^\cdot = -p^4 c^{-2} \dot{\Delta} [4j(1 + 3s)^2 + (1 + 2s)(1 + 6s)]$ .

This is solved by  $\Delta(p^2) = \frac{1}{p^4} c(p^2)$ ,

$$\text{and } j = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1 + 4s}{1 + 3s}.$$

# 2-point flow equation: Einstein scheme

Let us now consider an effective action that begin with the [Einstein-Hilbert](#) term:

$$S = 2 \int_x \sqrt{g} \left( -R + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} R_{\mu\nu} d \left( \frac{-\nabla^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{j}{\Lambda^2} R d \left( \frac{-\nabla^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) R + \dots \right).$$

We choose  $j = -1/2$  so that the entire expression uses the [same transverse projector](#), the [flow equation](#) then requires only that

$$\Lambda \partial_\Lambda \left( \frac{d}{\Lambda^2} \right) = -p^2 \dot{\Delta} \left( \frac{d}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{1}{p^2} \right)^2.$$

Alternatively,  $j = -1/3$  would have given us the [same constraint](#), but then the 2- and (4+)-derivative terms would use [different transverse projectors](#).

We can then write the “[effective propagator](#)” as

$$\Delta^{-1} = p^2 + d \frac{p^4}{\Lambda^2} = p^2 c^{-1} \left( \frac{p^2}{\Lambda^2} \right).$$

So we have the familiar form  $\Delta = \frac{1}{p^2} c \left( \frac{p^2}{\Lambda^2} \right).$

# Comments on additional regularization

Remembering that  $SU(N|N)$  regularization is required for [gauge theories](#), it is natural to suggest a similar Pauli-Villars regularization for [gravity](#).

The metric would be appended with wrong-statistics [fermionic](#) components, so the [invariant interval](#) is

$$ds^2 = dx^A g_{AB} dx^B,$$

where we would also use [Grassmann coordinates](#):

$$x^A = (x^\mu, \theta^a).$$

This gives us  $D^2$  [fermionic](#) degrees of freedom:  $g_{\mu a} = -g_{a\mu}$ .

These cancel [bosonic](#) degrees of freedom, of which there are  $D(D+1)/2$  from the symmetric [original metric](#),  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,

and  $D(D-1)/2$  from the [new bosonic](#) (antisymmetric) part,  $g_{ab}$ .

[Cancellations](#) between fermionic and bosonic modes [in the UV](#) would be used to provide the additional regularization. A [spontaneous symmetry breaking](#) would be required to [decouple](#) the extra degrees of freedom at the [cutoff scale](#).

# Summary

- The manifestly **diffeomorphism** invariant ERG is based on a generalization of the **Polchinski flow equation** for gravity.
- There is **no need for gauge fixing** or BRST ghosts. All results are independent of coordinates.
- It has both **fixed-background** and **background-independent** versions that give consistent results.
- We developed the formalism at tree-level and can exactly solve for  $n$ -point vertices **iteratively**, starting at the 2-point level.
- We have suggested how to proceed with the **quantum** construction.