Upper bound on the Abelian gauge coupling from asymptotic safety

Fleur Versteegen

in collaboration with Astrid Eichhorn

Institute for theoretical physics, Heidelberg university

Based on:

A. Eichhorn and FV, JHEP 1801, 030 (2018), arXiv:1709.07252



Emmy Noether-Programm Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG

Outline

- Triviality problem QED
- Inclusion of gravity
- Asymptotic safety/freedom
- U(1) hypercharge
- Conclusions

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

[M. Baig, H. Fort, J. B. Kogut and S. Kim, Phys. Rev. D 51, 5216 (1995)]

QED at one loop



Fleur Versteegen

- What is physically going on?
- \Rightarrow Virtual particle-antiparticle pairs (e^-, e^+) turn vacuum into screening "medium"



credits: Astrid Eichhorn

Moving closer to central charge (i.e. increase energy) increases effective charge

Landau pole \Rightarrow Theory breaks down at high energies

Fleur Versteegen

• Push Landau pole to infinity



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• Push Landau pole to infinity



• In limit where Landau pole is removed, coupling tuned to 0 at all k

 \Rightarrow Triviality

[H. Gies and J. Jaeckel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 110405 (2004)]

[M. Gockeler, R. Horsley, V. Linke, P. E. L. Rakow, G. Schierholz and H. Stuben, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4119 (1998)] 🥠 o

Fleur Versteegen

- At large scales, 1-loop result not sufficient
 - \Rightarrow Need non-perturbative tools



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• If for $k \to \infty$ there is a UV fixed point s.t. in the IR $g_{obs} \to g_*$



 \Rightarrow Interacting theory

ヘロア ヘロア ヘロア・

Scalar QED

Action:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \left(D_{\mu}\phi \right) \left(D_{\nu}\phi \right)^{\dagger} + \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\alpha} F_{\nu\beta} + S_{gf,A}$$

Kinetic term ϕ
Kinetic term A

• where:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu})$$
$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - i\rho A_{\mu}$$
$$\rho = \rho(k)$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > →

Scalar QED: Matter contribution

[Wetterich '93]

• Wetterich equation:

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Gamma_k^{(2)} + \mathcal{R}_k \right]^{-1} \partial_t \mathcal{R}_k$$

3-point VS 4-point vertex

$$\Gamma_k \mid_{\rho} = i\bar{\rho}_3 \int d^4x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \left(\phi^{\dagger} \partial_{\nu} \phi - (\partial_{\nu} \phi^{\dagger}) \phi \right) A_{\mu}$$

$$+ \bar{\rho}_4^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu} A_{\nu} \phi^{\dagger} \phi$$

differ in normalization

$$\rho_3 = \frac{\bar{\rho}_3}{Z_{\phi} Z_A^{1/2}}, \qquad \rho_4 = \frac{\bar{\rho}_4}{Z_{\phi}^{1/2} Z_A^{1/2}} \qquad \eta_{\phi,A} = -\partial_t \ln Z_{\phi,A}$$

indicating two projections

$$\beta_{\rho_3} = \rho_3 \left(\eta_{\phi} + \frac{\eta_A}{2} \right) + 3 \text{-point matter contributions}$$

$$\beta_{\rho_4} = \rho_4 \left(\frac{\eta_{\phi}}{2} + \frac{\eta_A}{2} \right) + 4 \text{-point matter contributions}$$

Scalar QED: Matter contribution

• Matter contribution anomalous dimension:





• Matter contribution 3-point vertex:



• Matter contribution 4-point vertex:







UV completion

• Identifying $\rho_3 = \rho_4$ (gauge invariance) gives universal result for the flow

$$k\partial_k \rho \mid_{matter} = \beta_\rho \mid_{matter} = \frac{\eta_A}{2} = \frac{\rho^3}{48\pi^2}$$

• Adding gravity:

$$S = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} (D_{\mu}\phi) (D_{\nu}\phi)^{\dagger}}{\text{Kinetic term } \phi} + \frac{\frac{1}{4} \int d^4 x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\alpha} F_{\nu\beta}}{\text{Kinetic term } A} + S_{gf,A} - \frac{\frac{1}{16\pi \bar{G}} \int d^4 x \sqrt{g} (R - 2\bar{\Lambda})}{\text{Einstein-Hilbert}} + S_{gf,h}$$

[U. Harst and M. Reuter, JHEP 1105, 119 (2011)]

where:

$$\begin{split} F_{\mu\nu} &= (\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}) \\ D_{\mu} &= \partial_{\mu} - i\bar{\rho}A_{\mu} \\ g^{\mu\nu} &= \delta^{\mu\nu} + \eta^{\mu\nu} \\ \bar{\rho} &= \bar{\rho}(k) \quad \overline{\bar{G}} = \bar{G}(k), \ \bar{\Lambda} = \bar{\Lambda}(k) \end{split} \qquad \rightarrow \rho = \bar{\rho}, \ G = \bar{G}k^{2}, \ \Lambda = \bar{\Lambda}k^{-2} \\ &= \bar{\rho} + \bar{\sigma} + \bar{\sigma$$

- project on transverse-traceless mode of $h_{\mu\nu}$
- Gravity contribution anomalous dimension



Contribution to the flow

$$\beta_{\rho} \mid_{TT} = \frac{\eta_A}{2} \rho = \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \rho^3 - G \rho \frac{5}{36\pi} \left(\frac{16(1-\Lambda) - \eta_h}{(1-2\Lambda)^2} \right).$$

[A. Eichhorn and FV, (2017)]



Quantum gravity contribution towards asymptotic freedom

- [U. Harst and M. Reuter, JHEP 1105, 119 (2011), [arXiv:1101.6007]]
- [N. Christiansen and A. Eichhorn, Phys. Lett. B 770, 154 (2017),[arXiv:1702.07724]]
- [J. E. Daum, U. Harst and M. Reuter, JHEP 1001, 084 (2010),[arXiv:0910.4938]]
- [S. Folkerts, D. F. Litim and J. M. Pawlowski, Phys. Lett. B 709, 234 (2012), [arXiv:1101.5552]]

Fleur Versteegen

Schematically, what is going on?



ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト



・ロト ・回 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

Fleur Versteegen



17/25

Upper bound on IR value



• • • • • • • • • • • • • •

UV completion: Gauge parameters

- gravity and matter gauge parameters: α, β, ξ
- Hard implementation of gauge condition: $\alpha = 0$

[H. Gies, B. Knorr and S. Lippoldt, Phys. Rev. D 92, no. 8, 084020 (2015)

• Demanding that
$$\beta_{
ho_3}=\beta_{
ho_4}=\frac{\eta_A}{2}$$
 yields:
 $\beta=1, \qquad \xi ext{-independent}$

Flow:

$$\beta_{\rho} = \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \,\rho^3 - \frac{G\left(4(1-4\Lambda)+\eta_h\right)}{16\pi(1-2\Lambda)^2} \,\rho.$$

Critical exponents

$$\Theta_{\rm int} = -\Theta_{\rm free} = -\frac{\partial\beta_{\rho}}{\partial\rho}\Big|_{\rho=\rho^*} = -G\frac{4-16\Lambda+\eta_h}{8\pi(1-2\Lambda)^2}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

UV completion: Gauge parameters



Robustness under change of η_h .

Preferred gauge $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1.$

・ロト ・日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

-

U(1) hypercharge

• In the standard model:

$$\beta_{g_Y} = \frac{g_Y^3}{16\pi^2} \frac{41}{6} - g_Y f_g$$
$$g_Y^* = 4\sqrt{\frac{6}{41}} f_g \pi$$

Where:

 g_Y is the hypercharge coupling f_q is the quantum-gravity contribution

• Following the flow to the IR and demanding that the predicted IR value of the U(1) coupling matches the observed value gives constraint:

$$f_g \gtrsim \frac{0.096}{\pi^2}$$

- What we need: $fg \gtrsim \frac{0.096}{\pi^2}$
- What we find:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \beta_{g_Y} & = & \displaystyle \frac{g_Y^3}{16\pi^2} \frac{41}{6} - g_Y \, G \frac{1 - 4\Lambda}{4\pi (1 - 2\Lambda)^2} \\ \\ \mbox{and thus} & f_g & = & \displaystyle G \frac{1 - 4\Lambda}{4\pi (1 - 2\Lambda)^2} > 0 & \mbox{ for } G > 0, \, \Lambda < \frac{1}{4} \end{array}$$

[S. Folkerts, D. F. Litim and J. M. Pawlowski, Phys. Lett. B 709, 234 (2012)]

Interacting fixed point:

$$g_Y^* = \sqrt{\frac{24\pi}{41}} \sqrt{\frac{G(1-4\Lambda)}{(1-2\Lambda)^2}}.$$

• at $g_Y(k = M_{Pl}) = g_Y^*$ gravity switches off \rightarrow integrate down to IR

• FP values (G, Λ) depend on matter content.

[S. Lippoldt, to appear]. [P. DonÅ, A. Eichhorn and R. Percacci, Phys. Rev. D 89, no. 8, 084035 (2014)] For asymptotically safe EH + minimally coupled SM

 \Rightarrow we find: $g_{YIR} = 0.487$





・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Conclusions

- QED breaks down at high energy scales
 ⇒ Need new physics
- Adding gravity in truncations yields two potential solutions:
 - Asymptotic freedom (Free FP)
 - Asymptotic safety (Interacting FP)
 - \Rightarrow Interacting FP predicts IR value g_Y within 35% range
- Regime where microscopic gravity gives close prediction of g_Y same as regime predicting top/bottom mass

[A. Eichhorn and A. Held, Phys. Lett. B 777, 217 (2018)]

Outlook:

Extend truncation to include $(FF)^2$ -type terms